Wim Kopatz T11498, N-Seg-24s CSP, SQ

San Quentin, CA 94974



AS AFR 2020 PM S L

SAN FRANCISCO CA SA

PRISON UNIVERSITY PROJECT ATTN: JODY LEWEN POST OFFICE 492 SAN QUENTIN, CA 94964

1000000

	4-27-20
	PRISON UNIVERSITY PROJECT,
	THIS WAS A VERY GENEROUS
	PACKAGE THAT YOU SENT TO ALL THE
	INMATES AT SAN QUENTIN.
	I APPRECIATE IT AND
	THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
	Kim Koratz
norm through the control of the cont	T11498, N-SEG-245 CSP, SQ
	SAN QUENTIN, CA 94974
	O.K. TO SHARE

TENESTE MA

Prison University Project Post Office 492 San Quentin, CA 94964

Prison University Project,

This was a very generous package that you sent to all the inmates at San Quentin. I appreciate it and thank you very much.

I am Mr. Kim Kopatz. I am an inmate #T11498 at San Quentin Prison on death row for a 4-22-99 double murder in Riverside I did not commit. I have professed my innocence since day one. I was arrested 5-28-99 and convicted on 2-21-01. My appeals were denied Feb. 2016. I currently do not have an attorney. I am waiting to be assigned a habeas attorney but because of Prop. 66 all inmates that have completed their appeals and do not have a habeas attorney are put into a 'group' (I was told 25 per group) and I am in group 5. The worst thing I have ever done is a traffic ticket.

My case, S097414: I have material exculpatory evidence of Riverside police reports that shows two mexican men being seen and witnessed by the man that ran the self car wash. He was shown pictures of our van and he said, "that van was at the car wash with two mexican men washing alot of mud off of the van on 4-22-99." This was never brought up in my trial.

There are other pertinent reports that are in my petitions.

I have written two petitions to the Supreme Court of California.

Appellant Court Case Information -- Supreme Court -- (page enclosed):

(1) 4-28-16, #S234193 - Petition Of Habeas Corpus For Failure To Disclose Mitigating Material Exculpatory Evidence - 70 pages -

I have Riverside police reports:

- * Two mexican men seen and witnessed at a car wash by the attendant that was working there.
- * The two mexican men were then seen driving our van on Mr. Henriquez driveway and witnessed by him.
- * The police brought in bloodhound dogs to track the last person that sat in the drivers seat. The dogs go directly 'DOWN' Duncan St. to Van Buren where they find footprints, the police get pictures and casts of them. The dogs go across Van Buren and through a parking lot to a field where more footprints are found by the dogs and the dog handler says in his report, "This is significant." and "I feel we are following the last person that was in the drivers seat." All of this is happening approx 1-1½ miles in the opposite direction of our house. NONE of the footprints matched any of my shoes for make, style, and size of shoe. This was not brought up in my trial.
- * The dogs make no attempt to go 'UP' Duncan St. to Nellie St. where a 'so called eye witness (Mr. Ballou) testified, 'that I walked right by him and did not say hi, but, I don't remember him having a mustache.' I had a mustache since I joined the U.S. Army in 1972 when eight of us were waiting to see if we were going to get orders to go to Vietnam. In solidarity we agreed to grow mustaches and meet in 3 years.
- (2) 1-30-20, #S234193 Writ of Mandate 106 pages To have the Court rule on my 4-28-16 petition.

The Court is keeping an innocent man in prison.

Why won't the Court look at my petitions?

Don't I have a right to have my petition heard by the Court and have them make a ruling on the petitions?

How many cases has the Court heard that were dated after my 4-28-16 Habeas Corpus Petition?

With this coronavirus thing going on, Wouldn't now be the perfect time for the Court to open and review a case that has been setting dormant for the past four years?

Without any obligation, legal or otherwise from you, would you like to see my petition's? I can't just send them because the co\$t (approx. \$28 for copying and postage) is alot for me, but I would be very happy to send them to you if you are interested and you let me know.

* The only help (very little) that I get now is from the California Appellate Project. They said they cannot advise me legally on anything - but yet one of their attorney's assigned to me during my appeal, he sure helped screw that up for me.

* The Innocence Project in San Diego has all the copies of my case and petitions. They are reviewing them but cannot promise anything.

* The Habeas Corpus Resource Center in San Francisco has my petitions but can't do anything unless the Court assigns them to be my habeas counsel.

Stay safe with what's going on out there

Your reply whether yes or no would be greatly appreciated.

Kim Kopatz

T11498, N-Seg-24s

CSP, SQ

San Quentin, CA 94974

p.s. It is 0.K. to share this letter.

Appellate Courts Case Information

Supreme Court

Change court

Court data last updated: 02/24/2020 02:49 PM

Docket (Register of Actions)

KOPATZ (KIM RAYMOND) ON H.C. Division SF

Case Number S234193

Date	Description	Notes
1		Petitioner: Kim Raymond Kopatz
	condemned inmate in propria persona	
01/30/2020		by petitioner in pro se, Motion for Ruling on petition for writ of habeas corpus (submitted on Judicial Council form M-275)

Click here to request automatic e-mail notifications about this case.

Careers | Contact Us | Accessibility | Public Access to Records | Terms of Use | © 2020 Judicial Council of California Privacy